

Typographical Errata: “The GIST of Concepts” (2013), *Cognition*

(Please note that these minor typos may have already been corrected in your copy of the article)

1. On page 142, in the bottom-left paragraph, the sentence in the middle of the paragraph should read, “...their members are displayed **sequentially** before...” and not “...their members are displayed **sequential** before ...”
2. On page 140, section 1.1, second paragraph, the last sentence should read: “These limitations were **successfully** addressed in CIT (Vigo, 2009) and have been inherited and **completely overcome in** GIST, the theory introduced in the next few sections.” and not “These limitations were addressed in CIT (Vigo, 2009) and **have been inherited by** GIST, the theory introduced in the next few sections.”
3. In the caption of Table 2 on page 150 the last sentence should read, “...the $D-4_2[4]$ family...” instead of “...the $D-4_{12}[4]$ family...” $D-4_2[4]$ is an abbreviation for the more formal notation $4_2[12]$ (this notation was introduced in section 1.2). Also, note that there are two different types of informal labels used to label the down parity families of Table 2 (page 150). To resolve this inconsistency, keep in mind the following: if, for example, the family in up parity is the $4_2[4]$ family, its down parity counterpart may be labeled in one of three ways: “ $D-4_2[4]$ ”, “ $D-4_2[12]$ ”, or using the label “ $4_2[12]$ ” (the latter label follows the precise notation introduced in 1.2).
4. In the same Table 2 on page 150 for the “Number of Unique Structures” column there should be three unique structures (not four) for both the $U-3_2[3]$ and $D-3_2[3]$ structure families and four unique structures (not three) for both the $U-4_2[2]$ and $D-4_2[2]$ structure families.
5. In the caption of Table 5 on page 157 the first sentence should read, “...tested in Experiment **2**” and not “...tested in Experiment **3**.”
6. On page 158 (second column) it should read “As in ...” and not “As is...”
7. On page 160 (first column) second line from the bottom of the page should read “ $=1/4$ ” and not “ $=3/4$ ”.
8. In Appendix B, it states in the sixth item of the list of notations (page 161) that μ is defined in Equation 7.14. This sentence should be deleted. The precise definition of μ may be found in the supplementary documents.